

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/527,149	PFLEIDERER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Nicholas Woodall	3733	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Pending

(1) Nicholas Woodall.

(3) Eduardo Robert.

(2) Paul Maginot.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 April 2007

Time: 12:52

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner contacted the applicant's representative regarding the status of the application. The applicant's representative confirmed that the application has been abandoned.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 EDUARDO C. ROBERT
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)